

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/807,810	07/10/2001	Kevin R. Mc Intosh	640100-416	7470
7:	590 09/10/2004		EXAM	INER
Raymond J Lillie			EWOLDT, GERALD R	
Carella Byrne (Gilfillan Cecchi Stewart &	Olstein		
6 Becker Farm Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Roseland, NJ 07068			1644	
			DATE MAN ED: 00/10/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/807,810	MC INTOSH ET A	L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	G. R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply	N V IC CET TO EVDIDE 2 M	AONTH(S) EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a seply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely INTHS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	July 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,14,15,18,23,25,29 and 33 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-13,16,17,19-22,24,26-28 and</u>	<u>30-32</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pi			Stage			
application from the International Bure			Ŭ			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		ot received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	0.450)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/NPaper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)			

Serial No. 09/807,810 Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's amendments and remarks filed 7/12/04 are acknowledged.
- 2. Claim 33 stands withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, under 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Claims 3, 14, 15, 18, 23, 25, and 29 stand withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner as being drawn to nonelected species.

Claims 1, 2, 4-13, 16, 17, 19-22, 24, 26-28, and 30-32 are pending and under examination.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2 4, 5, 8, 9, 11-13, 16, 17, 19, 21, 24, 26-28, 30, and 32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Soiffer et al. (1997), as evidenced by U.S. Patent No. 5,736,396 (1998), for the reasons of record set forth in the action mailed 4/27/04.

Applicant's arguments, filed 3 7/12/04, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues, "Soiffer, however, does not disclose or even remotely suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art a method of inducing a reduced immune response to donor tissue, or a method of reducing an immune response against recipient tissue by donor tissue, of a method of treating a transplant recipient for graft versus host disease by administering isolated fibroblasts or a supernatant from an isolated fibroblast culture. Therefore, Soiffer does not anticipate Applicants' methods as claimed, nor does Soiffer render Applicants' methods as claimed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art."

It remains the Examiner's position that the reference teaches the method of the instant claims, i.e., the reference teaches treating as transplant recipient with fibroblasts (given that the transplant itself comprises fibroblasts). The instant application merely further characterizes the result of said

Art Unit: 1644

administration, i.e., a reduced immune response. The new limitation of treating with "isolated" fibroblasts is noted. "Isolated", however, can be defined as separated or detached, thus, the fibroblasts need not be purified but only separated from their original source, i.e., the donor's bone. Accordingly, the reference still teaches the method of the instant claims.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 7, 10, 20, 22, and 31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soiffer et al. in view of Donnelly et al. (1993, IDS), for the reasons of record set forth in the action mailed 4/27/04.

Applicant's arguments, filed 7/12/04, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant arques, "Donnelly, however, does not disclose or even remotely suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art that one may administer isolated fibroblasts or a supernatant from an isolated fibroblast culture in order to induce a reduced immune response against donor tissue, to reduce an immune response against recipient tissue by donor tissue, or to treat a transplant recipient for graft versus host disease." "The combination of Soiffer and Donnelly, therefore, does not even remotely suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art that one may administer isolated fibroblasts or a supernatant from an isolated fibroblast culture, in order to induce a reduced immune response against donor tissue, to reduce an immune response against recipient tissue by donor tissue, or to treat a transplant recipient for graft versus host disease."

Note that there is little actual argument in Applicant's remarks, but merely the assertion that the method of the instant claims is not obvious. It remains the Examiner's position that, in view of the combined references, the use of a known immunosuppressive agent (fibroblasts or their supernatant which would contain the soluble immunosuppressive factor) in a method wherein immunosuppression is desirable (tissue transplant) would be obvious.

- 7. No claim is allowed.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0843. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841.
- 10. Please Note: Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Additionally, the Technology Center receptionist can be reached at (571) 272-1600.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 1600

G.R. EWOLDT, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER